## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GARDEN CITY BOXING CLUB, INC.,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
V.  IOSE D. EONSECA individually and as	) Case No. CIV-07-1276-D
JOSE R. FONSECA, individually and as officer, director, shareholder, agent and/or principal of El Rinconcito Bar, <i>et al.</i> ,	) ) )
Defendants.	) 
J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Case No. CIV-08-362-D
JOSE R. FONSECA, individually and as officer, director, shareholder, agent and/or	) )
principal of El Rinconcito Bar, et al.,	) )
Defendants.	)

## ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Motion for Consolidation filed by Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc. in Case No. CIV-08-362-D [Doc. No. 13]. Plaintiff seeks consolidation pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) with an earlier-filed action, Case No. CIV-07-1276-D, because the cases involve the same parties (although the names of the plaintiffs differ due to a corporate name change) and share common legal and factual issues. A review of the Amended Complaint filed in each case demonstrates that the only differences between the two actions (other than Plaintiff's name) are the dates and subjects of Defendants' alleged unauthorized exhibition of television broadcasts for which Plaintiff allegedly held exclusive distribution rights. Because no current defendant has been served with process in either case, the motion does not reflect service on any

Case 5:08-cv-00362-D Document 16 Filed 06/16/08 Page 2 of 2

defendant.1

Rule 42(a)(2) vests in the district court discretionary authority to consolidate actions that

involve common questions of law and fact. Gillette Motor Transp. v. Northern Okla. Butane Co.,

179 F.2d 711, 712 (10th Cir. 1950). In view of the nearly identical pleadings filed in these cases,

the Court finds that the above-numbered cases should proceed as a single consolidated action at this

time. Should it later appear, due to circumstances presently unknown to the Court, that

consolidation is not appropriate, then the Court will revisit this issue upon a timely motion filed by

any party.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Consolidation is GRANTED.

The Court orders the consolidation of these two actions, which shall proceed as a single case under

the lower number, Case No. CIV-07-1276-D. The higher number, Case No. CIV-08-362-D, shall

be administratively closed without prejudice to Defendants' right to assert any defense or raise any

issue in the consolidated action. All future filings shall occur only in Case No. CIV-07-1276-D

unless ordered otherwise.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint that combines

all allegations asserted in the consolidated action within ten (10) days after the date of this Order.

The style of the pleading shall identify the plaintiff by both its current and former names.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 16th day of June, 2008.

TIMOTHY D. DEGIUSTI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Plaintiff has dismissed the only defendants who had appeared in either action, Defendants Jerry Moon and Paulette Davie.